Role of energy diffusion in ultrasonic attenuation

A. Shtyk^{1,2} and M. Feigel'man^{1,3}

¹ Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics ² Harvard University ³ MIPT

Acknowledgements: Vladimir Kravtsov

Electron-phonon interaction in disordered conductors

- Pippard ineffectiveness condition (PIC): in disordered metal long-wavelength ultrasonic attenuation is weaker by a $\,ql\ll 1\,$ factor

(A. Pippard, 1955)

Electron-phonon interaction in disordered conductors

- Pippard ineffectiveness condition (PIC): in disordered metal long-wavelength ultrasonic attenuation is weaker by a $\,ql\ll 1\,$ factor

(A. Pippard, 1955)

- PIC breakdown:
 - Energy diffusion (this talk)
 - in a disordered semiconductor
 - in a superconductor
 - Multiband electron system (M.Prunnila et al, 2005; M.Prunnila, 2007)
 - Piezoelectric coupling
 - (...; D.Khveshchenko, M. Reizer, 1997)
 - Static impurities

(A. Sergeev, V. Mitin, 2000)

• Weak Coulomb screening

(A. Sergeev, M. Reizer, V. Mitin, 2005; AS, M. Feigelman, V. Kravtsov, 2013)

Hamiltonian of electron-phonon interaction

(Laboratory frame or reference)

$$H_{e-ph} = \sum_{r} \overline{\psi} \Big(\underbrace{-(p_F v_F/d)\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}}_{-u_{\alpha}} - u_{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha} U \Big) \psi$$

Comes from distortions of ionic charge density

$$H_{e-ph-ion} = \sum_{r,r'} -V_0(r-r')n_{ion}\overline{\psi}\psi = \sum_{r,r'} -\underbrace{V(r-r')}_{v}\underbrace{n_{el}}\overline{\psi}\psi$$

screening electroneutrality

$$Vn_{el} = \frac{1}{\nu} \cdot n = \left(\frac{dn}{dE_F}\right)^{-1} n = \left(\frac{d\ln n}{dE_F}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{d\ln p_F^d}{dE_F}\right)^{-1} = \frac{p_F v_F}{d}$$

Hamiltonian of electron-phonon interaction

(Laboratory frame or reference)

$$H_{e-ph} = \sum_{r} \overline{\psi} \Big(- (p_F v_F/d) \partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} - \underbrace{u_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} U} \Big) \psi$$

Phonon-induced distortions of disorder potential

$$\sum_{i} U(r - R_i) \rightarrow \sum_{i} U(r - R_i - u(R_i))$$

Comoving frame of reference



Comoving frame of reference



Our new comoving reference frame

$$H_{e-ph}^{CFR} = \sum_{r} \overline{\psi} \Big[\Big(p_{\alpha} v_{\beta} - (p_{F} v_{F}/d) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Big) \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha} \Big] \psi$$
 (no disorder in the vertex)

Pippard ineffectiveness condition $_{\it standard\ theory}$ Weak disorder $\ ql \gg 1 \quad (\lambda \ll l)$

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{\rho_m \omega} \mathrm{Im} \Sigma^R(\omega, q) \Big|_{\omega = sq} \sim \frac{\nu p_F^2}{\rho_m} v_F q$$



Strong disorder $ql \ll 1$ $(\lambda \gg l)$

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{\rho_m \omega} \text{Im}\Sigma^R(\omega, q) \Big|_{\omega = sq} = \frac{8}{15} \frac{\nu p_F^2}{\rho_m} Dq^2$$

$$\Gamma_{e-ph} = p_{\alpha} v_{\beta} - (p_F v_F/d) \delta_{\alpha\beta} : \left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0 \quad \text{on the FS}$$

The average of the electron-phonon vertex over the Fermi surface is zero. The insertion of the impurity ladder in the diagram kills the vertex by averaging over directions. Diffusion is thus prohibited.

Pippard ineffectiveness condition $_{\it standard\ theory}$ Weak disorder $~ql\gg 1~~(\lambda\ll l)$

 $G(r) \propto \exp\left[-r/2l\right]$

$$\Gamma_{e-ph} = p_{\alpha} v_{\beta} - (p_F v_F/d) \delta_{\alpha\beta} : \left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0$$

The average of the electron-phonon vertex over the Fermi surface is zero. The insertion of the impurity ladder in the diagram kills the vertex by averaging over directions. Diffusion is thus prohibited.

1.0

0.0

Pippard ineffectiveness condition $_{\it standard\ theory}$ Strong disorder $ql \ll 1 \quad (\lambda \gg l)$

$$G(r) \propto \exp\left[-r/2l\right]$$

$$\Gamma(dr)e^{-r/l}e^{-i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}} = 4\pi \begin{cases} \frac{\arctan ql}{q}, & 2d\\ \frac{l}{\sqrt{1+q^2l^2}}, & 3d \end{cases} = \frac{4\pi}{q} \begin{pmatrix} 1, & ql \gg 1\\ ql, & ql \gg 1\\ ql \ll 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{PIC}$$

$$\Gamma_{e-ph} = p_{\alpha}v_{\beta} - (p_Fv_F/d)\delta_{\alpha\beta}: \quad \left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0$$

The average of the electron-phonon vertex over the Fermi surface is zero. The insertion of the impurity ladder in the diagram kills the vertex by averaging over directions. Diffusion is thus prohibited

1.0

0.0



Energy diffusion channel (normal state)

Strong Coulomb interaction (perfect screening). No spin(band) asymmetry.

$$\left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = \left(pv/d - p_F v_F/d \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sim \varepsilon \sim T$$

$$\frac{\alpha_{E-diff}}{\alpha_{PIC}} \sim \left(\frac{T}{p_F v_F} \right)^2 \times \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{-i\omega + Dq^2} \right]$$
Enhancement by diffusion

Energy diffusion channel (normal state)

Strong Coulomb interaction (perfect screening). No spin(band) asymmetry.

$$\left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = \left(pv/d - p_F v_F/d \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sim \varepsilon \sim T$$

$$\alpha_{E-diff} = 2\pi^2 \left(1 - \frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dE} \right)^2 \frac{\nu T^2}{\rho_m D} \frac{(Dq)^2}{s^2 + (Dq)^2}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{d(pv/d)}{dE} \varepsilon = \left(1 - \frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dE} \right) \varepsilon$$



Energy diffusion channel (normal state)

Strong Coulomb interaction (perfect screening). No spin(band) asymmetry.

$$\left\langle \Gamma_{e-ph} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} = \left(pv/d - p_F v_F/d \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sim \varepsilon \sim T$$

$$\alpha_{E-diff} = 2\pi^2 \left(1 - \frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dE} \right)^2 \frac{\nu T^2}{\rho_m D} \frac{(Dq)^2}{s^2 + (Dq)^2}$$

$$\frac{\alpha_{E-diff}}{\alpha_{PIC}} = \frac{5\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{T}{p_F v_F} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dE} \right)^2 \frac{v_F^2}{s^2 + (Dq)^2}$$

= 10 GHz

For heavily doped Si with $n = 10^{20} cm^{-3}$, $m = 0.36m_0$, $p_F l = 10$, $s \approx 8 \cdot 10^5 cm/s$ and $T = 0.1E_F \approx 200K$

$$\frac{\alpha_{e-diff}}{\alpha_{PIC}} \approx 100, \quad f \le 10GHz$$

Why to care about SC state? Is there anything different from a mere reduction in normal density as for the local processes?

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,PIC}}{\alpha_{PIC}} \approx 2 \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta}{T}\right]$$
 (...; Tinkham)

There is a gap that forces physics to higher energies!

$$\left\langle \Gamma \right\rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \sim \xi = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - \Delta^2} \sim \sqrt{\Delta T} > T$$

$$\left(\frac{T}{p_F v_F}\right)^2 \to \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta T}}{p_F v_F}\right)^2$$



In fact, an additional vertex of the $\check{\Delta}$ structure is generated through dressing by the order parameter fluctuations

$$\Lambda \propto \Delta \propto (i\check{\tau_2})$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\omega,q)}{\lambda} \left(\frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{d\varepsilon} + \right) \Delta$$
The vertex arises through the induced changes in the dimensionless $\lambda = \nu g$ coupling constant. It describes induced changes in the order parameter Δ :
$$\Lambda(0,0) \simeq \frac{d\Delta}{d\ln\rho}$$

 \sim

The

In fact, an additional vertex of the $\check{\Delta}$ structure is generated through dressing by the order parameter fluctuations

$$\check{\Lambda} \propto \check{\Delta} \propto (i\check{\tau_2})$$
$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\omega,q)}{\lambda} \left(\frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{d\varepsilon} + \frac{d\ln g}{d\ln \rho} \right) \Delta$$

The vertex arises through the induced changes in the dimensionless $\lambda = \nu g$ coupling constant. It describes induced changes in the order parameter Δ :

$$\Lambda(0,0) \simeq \frac{d\Delta}{d\ln\rho}$$

In fact, an additional vertex of the $\check{\Delta}$ structure is generated through dressing by the order parameter fluctuations

$$\check{\Lambda} \propto \check{\Delta} \propto (i\check{\tau_2})$$
$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\omega,q)}{\lambda} \left(\frac{p_F v_F}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{d\varepsilon} + \frac{d\ln g}{d\ln \rho} \right) \Delta$$

The vertex arises through the induced changes in the dimensionless $\lambda = \nu g$ coupling constant. It describes induced changes in the order parameter Δ :

$$\Lambda(0,0) \simeq \frac{d\Delta}{d\ln\rho}$$



The total vertex in SC state is $\check{\Gamma}+\check{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,E-diff}}{\alpha_{SC,PIC}} \sim \left\langle \left(\frac{\Gamma+\Lambda}{p_F v_F}\right)^2 \times (\text{diffusive enhancement}) \right\rangle_{\varepsilon}$$



The total vertex in SC state is $\check{\Gamma}+\check{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,E-diff}}{\alpha_{SC,PIC}} = \frac{15}{8} \frac{1}{p_F^2 v_F^2} \begin{cases} 3 \left(\Lambda^2 \frac{\Delta}{T} \ln \frac{T}{A(\omega)} - 4\Delta\Lambda + 4\Delta T \right) \times \frac{1}{\tau Dq^2} & \omega \sqrt{\Delta/T} \ll Dq^2 \\ 2 \left(\Lambda^2 - 2\Lambda T + 8T^2 \right) \times \frac{v_F^2}{s^2} & Dq^2 \ll \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{T}} \end{cases}$$
$$A(\omega) = \omega + \Delta \left(\frac{\omega}{Dq^2} \right)^2$$

More complicated behavior as compared to the normal case because

$$E_{+} - E_{-} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{+}^{2} - \Delta^{2}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{-}^{2} - \Delta^{2}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} - \Delta^{2}}} \omega$$

As opposed to

$$\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_- = \omega$$



The total vertex in SC state is $\check{\Gamma}+\check{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,E-diff}}{\alpha_{SC,PIC}} = \frac{15}{8} \frac{1}{p_F^2 v_F^2} \begin{cases} 3 \left(\Lambda^2 \frac{\Delta}{T} \ln \frac{T}{A(\omega)} - 4\Delta\Lambda + 4\Delta T \right) \times \frac{1}{\tau Dq^2} & \omega \sqrt{\Delta/T} \ll Dq^2 \\ 2 \left(\Lambda^2 - 2\Lambda T + 8T^2 \right) \times \frac{v_F^2}{s^2} & Dq^2 \ll \omega \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{T}} \end{cases}$$

$$A(\omega) = \omega + \Delta \left(\frac{\omega}{Dq^2} \right)^2$$

For YPtBi $n = 2 \cdot 10^{18} cm^{-3}$, $m = 0.15m_0$, electron mean free path l = 130nm, T = 0.2K, $T_c = 0.77K$, $\omega_D = 200K$ and sound velocity $s = 2 \cdot 10^5 cm/s$

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,E-diff}}{\alpha_{SC,PIC}} \simeq 0.8, \quad f \le 1GHz$$

As opposed to

 $\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_- = \omega$

The total vertex in SC state is $\check{\Gamma}+\check{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{\alpha_{SC,E-diff}}{\alpha_{SC,PIC}} = \frac{15}{8} \frac{1}{p_F^2 v_F^2} \begin{cases} 3 \left(\Lambda^2 \frac{\Delta}{T} \ln \frac{T}{A(\omega)} - 4\Delta\Lambda + 4\Delta T \right) \times \frac{1}{\tau Dq^2} & \omega \sqrt{\Delta/T} \ll Dq^2 \\ 2 \left(\Lambda^2 - 2\Lambda T + 8T^2 \right) \times \frac{v_F^2}{s^2} & Dq^2 \ll \omega \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{T}} \end{cases}$$
$$A(\omega) = \omega + \Delta \left(\frac{\omega}{Dq^2} \right)^2$$

For YPtBi $n = 2 \cdot 10^{18} cm^{-3}$, $m = 0.15m_0$, electron mean free path l = 130nm, T = 0.2K, $T_c = 0.77K$, $\omega_D = 200K$ and sound velocity $s = 2 \cdot 10^5 cm/s$

Conclusions

- Low-frequency phonons experience additional damping due to the coupling between lattice density modulations and non-equilibrium distribution of thermally excited quasiparticles.
- The conditions most convenient for observation of this effect are
 - not too low ratio T/E_F and ultrasound frequencies $\omega \ll T/\hbar$
 - in superconductors with relatively small $k_F \xi$ product, i.e. not far from the crossover from BCS to BEC state.